1	NIHAT DENIZ BAYRAMOGLU, ESQ. (Nevada. Bar No. 14030) deniz@bayramoglu-legal.com		
2	GOKALP BAYRAMOGLU, ESQ. (Nevada Bar No. 15500)		
3	gokalp@bayramoglu-legal.com SHAWN A. MANGANO, ESQ. (Nevada Bar No. 6730)		
4	shawnmangano@bayramoglu-legal.com WILLIAM R. BREES		
5	william@bayramoglu-legal.com (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC		
6	1540 West Warm Springs Road, Suite 100		
7	Henderson, Nevada 89014 Telephone: 702.462.5973		
8	Facsimile: 702.553.3404 Attorney for Plaintiff		
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
LO	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
l1	YUYAO TANGHONG INTERNATIONAL		
L2	TRADE CO., LTD., a Chinese corporate entity,	Case No.: 2:23-cv-01789-APG-BNW	
L3	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO	
L4	vs.	CONTINUE TO STAY PROCEEDINGS IN FAVOR OF MEDIATION	
L5	FOHSE, INC., a Nevada corporation,	(Third Request)	
L6		(Timu Kequest)	
L7	Defendant.		
L8	Plaintiff Yuyao Tanghong International Trade Co., Ltd. ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Fohse		
19	Inc. ("Defendant") [collectively, the "Parties"] through their respective undersigned counsel		
20	hereby stipulate to the entry of an order continuing the stay of all proceedings in this action until		
21	January 21, 2025, to allow the Parties to focus on and prepare for continued mediation, planned to		
22	occur within the first two weeks of January 2025. This request is brought in accordance with Loca		
23	Rule 6-2 and 6-2 as follows:		
24	1. On June 25, 2024, the Court entered an order [Dkt. No. 30] extending th		
25	scheduling order deadlines in this action.		
26	a. Exchange Proposed Terms of	of Construction: June 18, 2024	
	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE	1 Case No. 2:23-cv-01789-APG-BNW	
		Case NO. 2.23-67-01/07-AFU-DN W	

1	b.	Exchange Preliminary Claim Constructions and Extrinsic Evidence: July 2,
2		2024
3	c.	Submit Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement: July 16, 2024
4	d.	Opening Claim Construction Brief: August 6, 2024
5	e.	Response to Claim Construction Brief: August 27, 2024
6	f.	Reply Claim Construction Brief and Matter Submitted to Court for Hearing:
7		September 3, 2024
8	g.	Claim Construction Tutorials and Hearing: 60 days after the Reply brief is filed
9	h.	Discovery cutoff: December 3, 2024
LO	i.	Claim Construction Order: 60 days after the hearing
l1	j.	Post-Claim Construction Settlement Conference: 30 days after Claim
L2		Construction Order
L3	k.	Disclosure of amended contentions: 30 days after Claim Contention Order
L4	1.	Expert Designations: 60 days after Claim Construction Order
L5	m.	Rebuttal Expert Designations: 30 days after Expert Designations
L6	n.	Expert Discovery Cut-off: 30 days after Rebuttal Expert Designations
L7	o.	Dispositive motions: 30 days after close of Expert Discovery
L8	2. Or	July 15, 2025, the Court granted the Stipulation and Order to Stay Proceedings
19	in Favor of Mediation that stayed this case until November 15, 2024.	
20	3. Du	uring this stay the Parties scheduled mediation with mediator Neil A. Smith for
21	Tuesday, December 10, 2024.	
22	4. Th	e Parties held the mediation Tuesday, December 10, 2024.
23	5. Du	uring the mediation, factual and legal questions arose that the Parties agreed to
24	review and address at a continued mediation.	
25	6. Th	e Parties have planned a continued mediation to occur within the first two weeks
26	of January 2025.	
		_

1

- 7. In light of the fact the continued mediation is outside the duration of the current stay, the Parties request and stipulate to the Court's entry of an order as follows:
 - a. All further proceedings, obligations, filings, hearings and deadlines in this action are hereby further stayed until January 21, 2025;
 - b. The Parties shall submit a joint status report on or before January 21, 2025, providing the Court with the outcome of the mediation and the status of any settlement agreement and whether the stay should be continued and, if so, for how long, or whether the case should continue along with a proposed joint scheduling order.
- 8. The Parties submit that there is good cause for the requested continued stay due to the continued mediation with mediator Neil Smith. Mr. Smith has experience in patent infringement and extensive patent knowledge which is desirable in a mediator for this case. Absent the requested continuation of the stay in the present action, the Parties' attention and focus will be divided inhibiting preparation for mediation. Accordingly, the Parties submit there is good cause and good reason for the requested continued stay in favor of formal mediation. See Gibson v. MGM International, No. 2:23-cv-00140-MMD-DJA, 2023 WL4455726 (D. Nev. July 11, 2023).

Respectfully submitted,

Name: /s/Nihat Deniz Bayramoglu Nihat Deniz Bayramoglu, Esq.

BAYRAMOGLU LAW OFFICES LLC

Date: December 17, 2024 Attorney for Yuyao Tanghong Trade Co., Ltd.

Name: /s/F. Christopher Austin

F. Christopher Austin, Esq. WEIDE & MILLER LTD.

Date: December 17, 2024 Attorney for Fohse, Inc.

IT IS SOORDERED:

DATED: December 19, 2024

Andrew P. Gordon DISTRICT COURT JUDGE